

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/654,149	09/01/2000	Peter Guthmann	3916/59156-082	5899	
7	7590 05/21/2003				
Robert E Muir Esq			EXAMINER		
Hush & Eppen			NGUYEN, ЛММҮ T		
Suite 1400 Peoria, IL 61	602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
100114, 12 01	002		3725	10	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Neders of About a count	09/654,149	GUTHMANN ET	ГАІ
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	712.
	Jimmy T Nguyen	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of s	lailing or Transmission dated)	, which is after the I 2003.	expiration of the
(b) A proposed reply was received on <u>December 16, 2003</u> final rejection.	3, but it does not constitute a proper i	eply under 37 CFR	1.113 (a) to the
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	nendment which pla or (3) a timely filed F	aces the Request for
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See e	te a proper reply, or a bona fide atter explanation in box 7 below).	mpt at a proper repl	y, to the non-
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-89) 	publication fee, if applicable, within t	the statutory period	of three months
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85).	received on (with a Certifica riod for payment of the issue fee (and	te of Mailing or Tra d publication fee) s	ansmission dated et in the Notice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T	he publication fee, if required by 37 (CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requi Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month p	eriod set in, the Not	tice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Trans	smission dated), which is
(b) \square No corrected drawings have been received.			,
1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	attorney or agent of record, the assig	gnee of the entire in	iterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	ntative capacity un	der 37 CFR
5. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim	nce rendered on and because s.	the period for seel	king court review
7. 🛭 The reason(s) below:			
see attached note.			
Detitions to revive under 27 OSB 4 427(a) or /b) as secure to 1911	ikka kaldina afakandanan iku sa a	ED 4 404	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw ninimize any negative effects on patent term.	vice noiging of abandonment under 37 Cl	rk 1.181, should be p	promptly filed to

Application/Control Number: 09/654,149

Art Unit: 3725

Advisory

Page 2

The application is abandoned. The amendment filed on December 16, 2002 failed to place the application in condition for allowance.

Amended claim 1 calls for "a tensional arm is operatively engageable with a latching mechanism on the frontal part of the housing", which is not supported by the specification. The specification discloses that a second arm (27) of the bell crank (as opposed to the tensional arm (18)) is operatively engageable with a latching mechanism (30) on the frontal part of the housing (28, 30) (see figure 1 and page 2, lines 12-14 of paper number 6). Additionally, the amended claim 1 raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Claims 3-6, 8, 9, 11-13, 15, and 17 are allowable.

ALLEN OSTRAGER
SUPERVICORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700